

BOSTON UNIVERSITY

GRADUATE SCHOOL OF ARTS AND SCIENCES

Dissertation

**THE ROLE OF ACOUSTIC SIGNALS IN FISH COURTSHIP AND  
CHALLENGES IN BIOACOUSTIC FISH RESEARCH**

by

**KATHRYN KOVITVONGSA MOSHARO**

B.S., Michigan State University, 2003

M.A., Boston University, 2010

Submitted in partial fulfillment of the

requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

2014

UMI Number: 3621694

All rights reserved

INFORMATION TO ALL USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.



UMI 3621694

Published by ProQuest LLC (2014). Copyright in the Dissertation held by the Author.

Microform Edition © ProQuest LLC.

All rights reserved. This work is protected against unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code



ProQuest LLC.  
789 East Eisenhower Parkway  
P.O. Box 1346  
Ann Arbor, MI 48106 - 1346

PREVIEW

Approved by

First Reader \_\_\_\_\_

Phillip S. Lobel, Ph.D.  
Professor of Biology

Second Reader \_\_\_\_\_

Frederick E. Wasserman, Ph.D.  
Associate Professor of Biology

## **Acknowledgments**

Funding for this research was provided by the Marine Management Area Science program of Conservation International, the Boston University Marine Biology program Warren-McLeod Fellowship, the National Science Foundation GK12 Boston University Urban Fellows program, the Boston University Marine Program Ichthyology course, and the Lobel Lab. Boston University provided additional graduate student support through teaching fellowships.

I thank my advisor, Phillip Lobel, as well as my committee, for their guidance and support. I also thank the countless Lobel Lab volunteers that have kept the lab running and the experimental animals in good health.

I am extremely grateful to my fellow graduate students for their friendship and support, but especially to Briana Brown, Sarah Pilzer, Rachel Morrison, and Burton Shank for all of their advice, assistance, and commiseration, without which, I would not have made it to graduation.

Lastly, I am deeply thankful to my family, and especially to my husband Sean, for their everlasting love and support.

**THE ROLE OF ACOUSTIC SIGNALS IN FISH COURTSHIP AND  
CHALLENGES IN BIOACOUSTIC FISH RESEARCH**

(Order No.            )

**KATHRYN KOVITVONGSA MOSHARO**

Boston University Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, 2014

Major Professor: Phillip S. Lobel, Professor of Biology

**ABSTRACT**

Sound production is a widespread phenomenon in fishes; however, the importance of acoustic signals and their potential to influence reproduction has not been determined. This dissertation examines fish acoustic courtship signals to investigate whether sound has a role in reproductive success. The pre-spawning sounds of several fishes were recorded and analyzed. The male advertisement call of two species of Belizean toadfish, *Sanopus astrifer* and *Batrachoides gilberti*, were found to significantly differ. These data, coupled with data in the literature suggest an influence of habitat characteristics on the calling behavior of toadfishes. Additionally, acoustic playback experiments were employed to investigate the role of male courtship sounds in the Malawi cichlid species, *Tramitichromis intermedius*. Playback results indicated that male sounds may initiate egg-laying behavior in females, but may not be behaviorally relevant

to conspecific males. A discussion of confounding factors in aquarium playback experiments is presented.

Technical aspects of fish sound recording, playback, and analysis were also examined to provide information for future fish bioacoustics studies. It was determined that digital cameras are a useful method of recording fish sounds to describe metric characteristics; however, temporal parameters are more accurately captured by hydrophones, which are optimal for use in scientific description of fish sounds. Underwater speakers commonly used in fish playback experiments were tested for fidelity when producing a low-frequency pulsed fish sound. The Electro-Voice UW30 speaker was found to perform the best playback at low sound pressure levels ( $< 120$  dB re  $1 \mu\text{Pa}$ ) and at short distances ( $< 15$  cm). The Clark Synthesis AQ339 speaker performed the best playback at higher sound pressure levels ( $> 120$  dB re  $1 \mu\text{Pa}$ ) and at greater distances than the UW30. Many fish sounds have been described in the literature; however, there is no standardization of sample size used in species descriptions. A method is presented that can be used to estimate the level of inclusiveness of sound variability in sound descriptions, and to approximate sufficient sample sizes of recordings. The courtship calls of *Dascyllus albisella* and *Batrachoides gilberti* were examined to illustrate this method and to provide a benchmark for future sound descriptions.

## Table of Contents

Acknowledgments.....	iv
Table of Contents.....	vii
List of Tables.....	ix
List of Figures.....	xii
List of Abbreviations.....	xvi
Introduction.....	I
Chapter 1: Acoustic Signals of Two Toadfishes from Belize: <i>Sanopus astrifer</i> and <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> (Batrachoididae) <sup>1</sup> .....	5
Chapter 2: Convenient Fish Acoustic Data Collection in the Digital Age <sup>2</sup> .....	42
Chapter 3: Acoustic Courtship Signals Initiate Egg-laying in Isolated <i>Tramitichromis intermedius</i> Females: Preliminary Findings.....	68
Chapter 4: Response of male <i>Tramitichromis intermedius</i> to playback of conspecific courtship sounds.....	81
Chapter 5: A comparison of underwater speakers for use in aquaria for animal behavior playback studies.....	III

Chapter 6: Determining the required number of recorded calls and individuals to describe a species specific fish sound .....	162
List of Journal Abbreviations .....	219
References .....	222
Curriculum Vitae.....	235

PREVIEW

## List of Tables

<b>Table 1.1</b>	Toadfish boatwhistle parameters reported in the literature .....	9
<b>Table 1.2</b>	Boatwhistle call parameters from original recordings of <i>Sanopus astrifer</i> . ....	25
<b>Table 1.3</b>	Boatwhistle call parameters from field recordings of <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> ....	27
<b>Table 2.1</b>	Temporal trial pulse length measurements and accuracy ranking .....	56
<b>Table 2.2</b>	Recorder performance rankings .....	65
<b>Table 3.1</b>	Spawning timeline dates for <i>Tramitichromis intermedius</i> females during daily playback of male courtship sounds.....	78
<b>Table 4.1</b>	Detailed results of fish behavior during the silent control treatment.....	96
<b>Table 4.2</b>	Detailed results of fish behavior during the silence vs. white noise treatment .....	97
<b>Table 4.3</b>	Detailed results of fish behavior during the conspecific vs. white noise treatment.....	98
<b>Table 4.4</b>	Detailed results of fish behavior during the conspecific vs. heterospecific treatment.....	99
<b>Table 4.5</b>	Detailed results for the behavior of Fish 1 during all trials .....	100
<b>Table 4.6</b>	Detailed results for the behavior of Fish 2 during all trials .....	100
<b>Table 4.7</b>	Detailed results for the behavior of Fish 3 during all trials .....	101
<b>Table 4.8</b>	Detailed results for the behavior of Fish 4 during all trials .....	101
<b>Table 4.9</b>	Detailed results for the behavior of Fish 5 during all trials .....	102
<b>Table 4.10</b>	Detailed results for the behavior of Fish 6 during all trials.....	102
<b>Table 4.11</b>	Detailed results for the behavior of Fish 7 during all trials.....	103
<b>Table 4.12</b>	Detailed results for the behavior of Fish 8 during all trials.....	103
<b>Table 4.13</b>	Detailed results for the behavior of Fish 9 during all trials.....	104
<b>Table 5.1</b>	Specifications for speakers systems tested in this study .....	115

<b>Table 5.2</b> Speaker playback quality rankings and mean correlation values for playback recordings at all distances in the wooden water tank..	127
<b>Table 5.3</b> Playback quality ranking and mean correlation values for recordings of playback in the fiberglass aquarium	128
<b>Table 5.4</b> Speaker playback quality rankings and mean correlation values for playback recordings at all distances in open water at a sound pressure level of 120 dB re 1 $\mu$ Pa	133
<b>Table 5.5</b> Speaker playback quality rankings and mean correlation values for playback recordings at all distances in open water at the lowest playback amplitude tested (<120 dB re 1 $\mu$ Pa).	133
<b>Table 5.6</b> Fish acoustic playback studies in the literature.	145
<b>Table 6.1</b> Variation in pulse rate for the <i>Dascyllus albisella</i> male courtship dip sound.	179
<b>Table 6.2</b> Variation in pulse repetition rate for the <i>Dascyllus albisella</i> male courtship dip sound	183
<b>Table 6.3</b> Variation in call length for the <i>Dascyllus albisella</i> male courtship dip sound.	185
<b>Table 6.4</b> Variation in number of pulses per call for the <i>Dascyllus albisella</i> male courtship dip sound	187
<b>Table 6.5</b> Variation in note rate for the <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> male boatwhistle call.....	194
<b>Table 6.6</b> Variation in note repetition rate for the <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> male boatwhistle call	198
<b>Table 6.7</b> Variation in call length for the <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> male boatwhistle call.....	200
<b>Table 6.8</b> Variation in number of notes per call for the <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> male boatwhistle call.....	202
<b>Figure 6.20</b> Coefficient of variation of note rate plotted against the number of individuals recorded for <i>B. gilberti</i>	205
<b>Figure 6.21</b> Coefficient of variation of note repetition rate plotted against the number of individuals recorded for <i>B. gilberti</i> .	206

**Figure 6.22** Coefficient of variation of call length plotted against the number of individuals recorded for *B. gilberti*..... 207

**Figure 6.23** Coefficient of variation of number of notes per call vs. the sample size of number of individuals recorded for *B. gilberti*..... 208

PREVIEW

## List of Figures

<b>Figure 1.1</b> Photo of <i>Sanopus astrifer</i> individual recorded in Belize at Long Cay in 1993. .....	11
<b>Figure 1.2</b> Photo of <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> individual recorded in Belize at Hunting Cay in 2007.....	11
<b>Figure 1.3</b> Locations where individuals of <i>Sanopus astrifer</i> were recorded.....	15
<b>Figure 1.4</b> Comparison of recording accuracy between a hydrophone and the digital cameras used to record <i>B. gilberti</i> boatwhistle calls.....	18
<b>Figure 1.5</b> Representative boatwhistle call of <i>Sanopus astrifer</i> .....	20
<b>Figure 1.6</b> Representative boatwhistle call of <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> .....	29
<b>Figure 2.1</b> Recording systems evaluated .....	46
<b>Figure 2.2</b> The oscillogram and spectrogram of the boatwhistle call of the toadfish <i>Sanopus astrifer</i> . .....	48
<b>Figure 2.3</b> Electronic noise created by the camera systems themselves.....	50
<b>Figure 2.4</b> Frequency bandwidth of the recording systems differed .....	52
<b>Figure 2.5</b> Canon cameras created a sound artifact for frequencies above their upper detectable limit of 5.5 kHz .....	53
<b>Figure 2.6</b> Variable amplitude of recordings .....	54
<b>Figure 2.7</b> Sound waveform and reverberation.....	58
<b>Figure 2.8</b> Clarity of the waveform allows for better differentiation between source signal, reverberation and background noise.....	61
<b>Figure 2.9</b> Effect of underwater housing on recording amplitude.....	63
<b>Figure 2.10</b> Fish sound frequency and duration .....	67
<b>Figure 3.1</b> (a) Waveform and (b) Spectrogram of the sequence of two male <i>Tramitichromis intermedius</i> courtship sounds used for playback .....	75
<b>Figure 3.2</b> Graphic spawning timeline.....	79

<b>Figure 4.1</b> Time spent by male <i>Tramitichromis intermedius</i> in sound-associated zones during each acoustic playback treatment.....	95
96	
<b>Figure 5.1</b> The male courtship sound of <i>Tramitichromis intermedius</i> used to test the playback quality of underwater speaker systems .....	118
<b>Figure 5.2</b> a) Spectrogram correlation values, and b) waveform correlation values, vs. distance for speaker playback at a SPL of ~135 dB in the wooden water tank. 125	
<b>Figure 5.3</b> a) Spectrogram correlation values, and b) waveform correlation values, vs. distance for headphone system playback at a SPL of 120-125 dB in the wooden water tank.....	130
<b>Figure 5.4</b> a) Spectrogram correlation value curves, and b) waveform correlation value curves, from recordings of speaker playback in the fiberglass aquarium using the same amplitude parameters at an unknown SPL <120 dB.....	131
<b>Figure 5.5</b> Waveform of the playback of the <i>Tramitichromis intermedius</i> test sound from each speaker system using a 4 $\Omega$ amplifier .....	134
<b>Figure 5.6</b> Bandpass filtered 0-1,000 Hz (a) spectrogram correlation value curves and (b) waveform correlation value curves from recordings of speaker playback in open water at SPL 120 dB.....	136
<b>Figure 5.7.</b> Unfiltered (a) spectrogram correlation value curves and (b) waveform correlation value curves from recordings of speaker playback in open water at SPL 120 dB.....	137
<b>Figure 5.8</b> Bandpass filtered 0-1,000 Hz (a) spectrogram correlation value curves and (b) waveform correlation value curves from recordings of speaker playback in open water at unknown SPL < 120 dB.....	138
<b>Figure 5.9</b> Unfiltered (a) spectrogram correlation value curves and (b) waveform correlation value curves from recordings of speaker playback in open water at unknown SPL < 120 dB.....	139

<b>Figure 5.10</b> Frequency response of the electromagnetic acoustic playback system designed by Fonseca and Alves (2012) and the Lubell LL9162T underwater speaker.....	159
<b>Figure 6.1</b> Oscillogram and spectrogram from a representative courtship dip call of <i>Dascyllus albisella</i> .....	173
<b>Figure 6.2</b> Oscillogram and spectrogram from a representative boatwhistle call of <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> .....	174
<b>Figure 6.3</b> A theoretical plot of the coefficient of variation of randomly-generated datasets vs. sample size when the data have the same mean and differing standard deviations.....	176
<b>Figure 6.4</b> Coefficient of variation of the 100 bootstrapped sample means for pulse rate of <i>D. albisella</i> individuals plotted at each sample size.....	180
<b>Figure 6.5</b> Boxplot of ten bootstrapped subsets (n=10 recorded calls) of pulse rate data from one individual of <i>Dascyllus albisella</i> (Fish 1) .....	181
<b>Figure 6.6</b> Boxplot of ten bootstrapped subsets (n=5 recorded calls) of pulse rate data from one individual of <i>Dascyllus albisella</i> (Fish 1) .....	182
<b>Figure 6.7</b> Coefficient of variation for pulse repetition rate of <i>D. albisella</i> individuals plotted against bootstrapped sample size.....	184
<b>Figure 6.8</b> Coefficient of variation for call length of <i>D. albisella</i> individuals plotted against bootstrapped sample size.....	186
<b>Figure 6.9</b> Coefficient of variation for number of pulses per call of <i>D. albisella</i> individuals plotted against bootstrapped sample size.....	188
<b>Figure 6.10</b> Coefficient of variation of pulse rate plotted against number of individuals recorded for <i>D. albisella</i> . .....	190
<b>Figure 6.11</b> Coefficient of variation of pulse repetition rate plotted against the number of individuals recorded for <i>D. albisella</i> . .....	191

<b>Figure 6.12</b> Coefficient of variation of <i>D. albisella</i> call length plotted against the number of individuals recorded.....	192
<b>Figure 6.13</b> Coefficient of variation of number of pulses per call plotted against the number of individuals recorded for <i>D. albisella</i> .....	193
<b>Figure 6.14</b> Coefficient of variation for note rate of <i>B. gilberti</i> plotted against bootstrapped sample size. ....	195
<b>Figure 6.15</b> Boxplot of ten bootstrapped subsets (n=10 recorded calls) of note rate data from one individual of <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> (Fish 1).....	196
<b>Figure 6.16</b> Boxplot of ten bootstrapped subsets (n=5 recorded calls) of note rate data from one individual of <i>Batrachoides gilberti</i> (Fish 1).....	197
<b>Figure 6.17</b> Coefficient of variation for note repetition rate of <i>B. gilberti</i> individuals plotted against bootstrapped sample size.....	199
<b>Figure 6.18</b> Coefficient of variation for call length of <i>B. gilberti</i> individuals plotted against bootstrapped sample size.....	201
<b>Figure 6.19</b> Coefficient of variation for number of notes per call of <i>B. gilberti</i> individuals plotted against bootstrapped sample size.....	203

## List of Abbreviations

AL	activity level
ANOVA	analysis of variance
<i>B. gilberti</i>	<i>Batrachoides gilberti</i>
<i>B. trispinosus</i>	<i>Batrachomoeus trispinosus</i>
C	central
<i>C. conophorus</i>	<i>Copadichromis conophorus</i>
CL	call length
cm	centimeter
Con	conspecific
CV	coefficient of variation
CV	correlation value
CV <sub>w</sub>	coefficient of variation within individuals
CV <sub>b</sub>	coefficient of variation between individuals
<i>D. albisella</i>	<i>Dascyllus albisella</i>
dB	decibels
e.g.	<i>exempli gratia</i>
<i>et al.</i>	<i>et alia</i>
ft	feet
<i>H. didactylus</i>	<i>Halobatrachus didactylus</i>
Hetero	heterospecific
Hz	hertz

<i>i.e.</i>	<i>id est</i>
kHz	kilohertz
L	left
L	liter(s)
m	meter(s)
min	minute(s)
mm	millimeter
ms	millisecond(s)
<i>M. zebra</i>	<i>Metriaclima zebra</i>
μPa	microPascal
N	note
<i>O. beta</i>	<i>Opsanus beta</i>
<i>O. phobetron</i>	<i>Opsanus phobetron</i>
<i>O. tau</i>	<i>Opsanus tau</i>
P	pulse, number of pulses
Pers. Comm.	personal communication
<i>P. notatus</i>	<i>Porichthys notatus</i>
ppt	parts per thousand
psi	pounds per square inch
R	right
re	reference
s	second(s)

<i>S. astrifer</i>	<i>Sanopus astrifer</i>
SD	standard deviation
sec	second(s)
SL	standard length
Spec	spectrogram
SPL	sound pressure level
spp.	species
<i>T. intermedius</i>	<i>Tramitichromis intermedius</i>
V	volt(s)
W	watt
Wave	waveform
WN	white noise

## Introduction

Bioacoustics is the study of biological sounds, or the study of the perception, production, and association of behaviors with the acoustic signals of animals. An understanding of the acoustic behavior of animals allows for distinction between otherwise similar species, and the ability to investigate the role of sound in the processes of species divergence or the maintenance of species separation. The study of bioacoustics is one method that can be used to assess species diversity. Species diversity can be a stabilizing factor for ecosystems in the face of events such as global warming, over-harvesting, pollution, and habitat destruction – negative impacts that are currently experienced by much of the world's environments. Determining the differences in acoustic signals of animals allows examination of the processes that create and maintain species diversity, knowledge of which is critical to protecting this diversity.

Advances in the study of the acoustic signals of fish generally lags behind the study of terrestrial animal groups, mainly due to a bias of human observers studying subjects easily perceived and recorded in our native environment. In addition, many fish sounds are inaudible to the human ear without the aid of technical equipment. The accessibility of commercial technology such as underwater speakers, hydrophones, and rebreather diving systems, has increased greatly in the last 50-60 years (Rosenthal and

Lobel 2006). This has allowed scientists greater ability to listen, record, and playback fish sounds in order to examine the behavioral functions of fish sounds.

What fish bioacoustics has yet to determine, is how important acoustic signals are in the survival and successful reproduction of fishes. Therefore, the next big question in fish bioacoustics is: do acoustic signals play a role in fish reproduction? Reproduction is one of the most important life-history event in the lives of most animals, allowing for the transmission of genes to the next generation that, along with survival, is part of the definition of evolutionary fitness and success. Courtship is often the prelude to the act of reproduction, and many fish sounds are associated with courtship (Amorim 2006). Therefore, the aim of this dissertation was to investigate the role of fish sounds during courtship. The male courtship sounds in two groups of fishes, toadfish and cichlids, were examined to investigate whether these sounds may play a part in successful reproduction.

The boatwhistle courtship call of three species of toadfish, *Opsanus tau*, *Sanopus astrifer*, and *Batrachoides gilberti* were recorded in the field and then analyzed. The boatwhistle calls appeared to be species-specific (Chapter 1); however, recording equipment differed between species. To verify that the differences in call characteristics were not due to differences in equipment, an evaluation of digital camera and hydrophone recording technology was completed. Dissimilarities in temporal accuracy were found in digital camera recordings; however, significant differences in number of notes per call were accurately measured (Chapter 2). The results of this evaluation

indicated that the temporal parameters that were measured for *B. gilberti* could not be used for interspecific comparison; however, significant differences in the number of notes per call do exist between toadfish species.

Investigation into the role of male courtship calls of the Lake Malawi cichlid, *Tramitichromis intermedius*, began with determining the response of isolated reproductively mature females to the acoustic playback of male courtship sounds. Preliminary results of the acoustic playback experiments suggested that courtship sounds may instigate spawning behavior in females (Chapter 3). Thus, the male courtship sounds in this species may have a possible reproductive priming function, in addition to that of advertisement and attraction. Since field observations suggest *T. intermedius* males are also attentive to conspecific courtship calls, the preference for association with conspecific male courtship sounds was determined with a two-choice acoustic playback experiment. Results appeared to indicate that there was no male preference for association with conspecific courtship sounds at the species level (Chapter 4). However, acoustic quality of the fish sounds during experimental playback was hypothesized to be a factor in the unclear behavioral results. Therefore, an evaluation of comparable models of commercial underwater speakers used in recent fish playback experiments was completed to determine if playback quality could have influenced male response to the playback of acoustic signals. The Clark Synthesis AQ339 speaker was found to produce the most accurate playback of the *T. intermedius* courtship sound in higher amplitude, long distance, and high ambient noise situations, while the Electro-

Voice UW30 speaker produced the most accurate playback in low amplitude, short distance, and low ambient noise conditions (Chapter 5). The Clark Synthesis speakers utilized in the male *T. intermedius* playback experiments were the best choice for equipment; however, the accuracy in fish sound playback may still not have been sufficient to elicit a clear behavior response.

The final chapter of this dissertation attempted to address the question in fish bioacoustics of: what sample size is needed to describe a fish sound? The number of recorded sounds used to describe fish sounds in the literature varies widely, so a method was developed to characterize the variability of a sample, and to estimate the sample size required to describe the full-range of variability in the group of study (Chapter 6). The use of this method was demonstrated using sound analysis of the courtship sounds of *Batrachoides gilberti* and *Dascyllus albisella*. This characterization of variability could be included, in addition to reported mean sound parameters, in future fish sound descriptions.

The results of this dissertation research provided further evidence that the acoustic signals of fish do play an important role in fish reproduction. Additionally, it provided information that may help to address some of the challenges in fish bioacoustic research.

**Chapter 1: Acoustic Signals of Two Toadfishes from Belize: *Sanopus astrifer* and *Batrachoides gilberti* (Batrachoididae)<sup>1</sup>**

**Abstract**

The “boatwhistle” calls of *Sanopus astrifer* and *Batrachoides gilberti*, two toadfish species from Belize, are described for the first time. These descriptions add to the small number of toadfish species sounds known to date (6 out of 79 species). Both Belize toadfishes produced multiple notes per call, unlike most other toadfish species which produce a single-note call (with the exception of *Opsanus beta*). *S. astrifer* produced significantly more notes per call than *B. gilberti* ( $p < .05$ ), and was recorded producing up to 7 notes, the highest number of notes per call of any toadfish species reported. Differences in the boatwhistle call between all species with available data are reviewed and it is hypothesized that phylogenetic relationships, morphology of the swimbladder, and evolutionary processes are factors that potentially influenced these differences.

<sup>1</sup> Previously published as Mosharo KK, Lobel PS (2012) Acoustic signals of two toadfishes from Belize: *Sanopus astrifer* and *Batrachoides gilberti* (Batrachoididae). *Environmental Biology of Fishes* 94: 623-638.